## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS FORT WORTH DIVISION

CHAMBER OF COMMERCE OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; FORT WORTH CHAMBER OF COMMERCE; LONGVIEW CHAMBER OF COMMERCE; AMERICAN BANKERS ASSOCIATION; CONSUMER BANKERS ASSOCIATION; and TEXAS ASSOCIATION OF BUSINESS,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CONSUMER FINANCIAL PROTECTION BUREAU; and ROHIT CHOPRA, in his official capacity as Director of the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau,

Defendants.

Case No.: 4:24-cv-213-P

## DEFENDANTS' SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO THE MAY 13 ORDER

Defendants hereby provide a supplemental response to the Court's May 13 order to address Plaintiffs' proposed schedule. Defendants understood the Court's order to be about what, not when. Order, ECF No. 83, at 1 ("The Court, accordingly, ORDERS both Parties to brief the Court on what the live pleadings are in this case and the current posture of such pleadings."). Plaintiffs nevertheless proposed a schedule for dispositive briefing. The rushed schedule they propose is not (i) necessary (given that Plaintiffs have received preliminary injunctive relief), (ii) feasible (given that it is not practicable to produce the administrative record when Plaintiffs propose it be provided), or (iii) sensible (given that Defendants intend to file a

motion on or before their May 28 deadline to respond to the Complaint that may obviate the need for summary judgment briefing in this Court). Plaintiffs also did not raise their proposed schedule with Defendants, though briefing and case management schedules are usually the subject of discussion among the parties, *see* Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b), 26(f). Consistent with the principles of comity that should govern scheduling, Defendants respectfully suggest that, if the Court intends to set a case schedule at this time, the parties be instructed to confer and report back within 7 days with a joint proposal if possible, or separate proposals if necessary. *See Dondi Properties Corp. v. Com. Sav. & Loan Ass'n*, 121 F.R.D. 284, 288 (N.D. Tex. 1988) (en banc) (adopting standards of practice for litigation in the District, including that "[i]f a fellow member of the Bar makes a just request for cooperation, or seeks scheduling accommodation, a lawyer will not arbitrarily or unreasonably withhold consent").

DATED: May 16, 2024 Respectfully Submitted,

SETH FROTMAN
General Counsel

STEVEN Y. BRESSLER Deputy General Counsel

KRISTIN BATEMAN
Assistant General Counsel

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Indeed, the parties scheduled a teleconference for the morning of May 15 to discuss a potential schedule for dispositive motions briefing—following Defendants' counsel's requests for such a discussion first made on April 22, and a preliminary conversation on May 13. Rather than present their proposed schedule to Defendants at that time, Plaintiffs' counsel asked that the meeting be canceled and submitted their proposal without conferral.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> There is likewise no need, as Plaintiffs suggest, for the Court to set a schedule now for addressing the Supreme Court's upcoming decision in *Community Financial Services Association of America, Ltd. v. CFPB* (CFSA). Defendants respectfully propose that the Court instead wait until after the Supreme Court decides *CFSA* to decide what additional briefing may be warranted in light of that decision. There is no reason to rush now, as the preliminary injunction remains in place unless the Court vacates it.

JUSTIN M. SANDBERG\*

Senior Counsel
Ill. Bar No. 6278377

JOSEPH FRISONE\*

Senior Counsel
Va. Bar No. 90728

STEPHANIE B. GARLOCK\*

Counsel
D.C. Bar No. 1779629

Consumer Financial Protection Bureau
1700 G St. NW

Washington, D.C. 20552

Stephanie.Garlock@cfpb.gov Justin.Sandberg@cfpb.gov

Joseph.Frisone@cfpb.gov

/s/ Justin M. Sandberg

(202) 435-7201 (Garlock)

(202) 450-8786 (Sandberg)

(202) 435-9287 (Frisone)

(202) 435-7024 (fax)

Counsel for Defendants the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau and Rohit Chopra

<sup>\*</sup>Admitted pro hac vice

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify on May 16, 2024, a true and correct copy of this document was served electronically by the Court's CM/ECF system to all counsel of record.

/s/ Justin M. Sandberg
Justin M. Sandberg